

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

BUDGET



FISCAL YEAR 2007 - 2008

Jon S. Corzine, Governor

Bradley I. Abelow
State Treasurer

Charlene M. Holzbaaur
Director

Gary J. Brune
Associate Director

Robert L. Peden
Deputy Director

Jacki L. Stevens
Assistant Director

Office of Management and Budget
February 22, 2007



GOVERNMENT FINANCE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION

*Distinguished
Budget Presentation
Award*

PRESENTED TO

State of New Jersey

For the Fiscal Year Beginning

July 1, 2006

President

Executive Director

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) presented a Distinguished Budget Presentation Award to the State of New Jersey, for the Annual Budget beginning July 01, 2006.

In order to receive this award, a governmental unit must publish a budget document that meets program criteria as a policy document, as an operations guide, as a financial plan, and as a communications device.

This award is valid for a period of one year only. We believe our current budget continues to conform to program requirements, and we are submitting it to GFOA to determine its eligibility for another award.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Governor's Message	i
Readers Guide	A-1
Summaries of Appropriations:	
The Budget in Brief	B-1
Major Highlights	B-3
Resources and Recommendations for Fiscal Year 2008 (All State Funds)	B-51
Appropriations Major Increases and Decreases	B-52
Summary of Fiscal Year 2007-2008 Recommendations	B-59
Summary of Appropriations, by Fund.	B-59
Summary of Appropriations, by Organization	B-60
Summary of Appropriations, by Category or Purpose	B-64
Summary of Appropriations, Dedicated Funds by Program	B-66
State Aid for Local School Districts—Consolidated Summary	B-76
Summaries of Revenues, Expenditures and Fund Balances:	
Combined Summary, Estimated Revenues, Expenditures, and Fund Balances	C-1
Summary of Estimated Revenues, Expenditures, and Fund Balances	C-3
Revenue Forecast and Initiatives	C-4
Statement of Estimated Revenues and Expenditures	C-7
Schedule I State Revenues	C-8
Schedule II Other Revenues	C-15
Schedule III Expenditures Budgeted	C-31
Schedule IV Expenditures Not Budgeted	C-33
Summary, Estimated Revenues, Expenditures, and Fund Balances	C-35
Budget Recommendations	
Department and Branch Recommendations:	
Legislature	D-1
Chief Executive	D-9
Agriculture	D-13
Banking and Insurance	D-25
Children and Families	D-33
Community Affairs	D-47
Corrections	D-71
Education	D-91
Environmental Protection	D-123
Health and Senior Services	D-155
Human Services	D-187
Labor and Workforce Development	D-257
Law and Public Safety	D-275
Military and Veterans' Affairs	D-315
Personnel	D-331
Public Advocate	D-339
State	D-345
Transportation	D-403
Treasury	D-421
Miscellaneous Commissions	D-473
Interdepartmental Accounts	D-479
The Judiciary	D-497
Capital Construction and Debt Service	E-1
Language Provisions-Federal Funds	F-1
Language Provisions-General Fund	F-2
Revolving Funds	G-1

Appendices:

Summary of Special Revenues, Capital Projects, Proprietary, and Private Purpose Trust Funds Appendix 1	H-1
Special Revenue Funds, Appendix 1A	H-2
Capital Project Funds, Appendix 1B	H-38
Private Purpose Trust Funds, Appendix 1C	H-42
Proprietary Funds, Appendix 1D	H-43
Description of Funds	H-44
State Lottery Fund Schedule	H-58
Casino Revenue Fund Schedule and Projection	H-59
911 System and Emergency Response Fee	H-62
Transportation Trust Fund Schedule	H-63
Statement of General Long-Term Debt	H-64
CAP Law	H-65
Population and Personal Income Demographics	H-67
State & Non-State Workforce Summary	H-70
Index	I-1



Governor's Message

**JON S. CORZINE
GOVERNOR OF NEW JERSEY
TRANSMITTED TO THE SECOND ANNUAL SESSION
OF THE TWO HUNDRED AND TWELFTH LEGISLATURE
FEBRUARY 22, 2007**

Good morning.

Thank you, President Codey and Speaker Roberts for allowing me to deliver my address five days early. After last year, we can probably use the extra time.

I'd like to start today with a simple thank you to all the members of the Legislature – and to the retiring members in particular. The last 15 months have had their moments. We have dealt with a lot. I think we all know there's more to do – a lot more. And I'll talk about that in a moment.

But let's get to the budget. As you know, a budget is more than just a set of numbers. It touches on every aspect of every life in our state. It's inevitable that when we talk about the budget we talk about the challenges people face.

But amidst all the fiscal terms – unfunded liabilities, structural deficits, and monetization – let's not lose sight of why budgets matter. They matter because they impact peoples' lives – today, tomorrow, and far into the future.

That said, the proposal I will present next week is a very good budget for the people of New Jersey. It confirms the Legislature's and my commitment to meaningful property tax relief. It does this in the context of the fiscal principles we established and fought for last year. It's financially disciplined and restrained. It's responsibly balanced with honest numbers. We don't rely on any strategies that mortgage our future.

It's the first budget in six years with no new taxes or tax increases. In fact, the only tax change proposed is a tax cut – a progressive cut for almost 300,000 working families.

This budget provides the largest increase in direct property tax relief in New Jersey history and the first real increase in school and municipal aid in a number of years. Finally, this budget preserves the safety net for the most vulnerable in our society – our seniors, the poor, the mentally ill, and the physically disabled.

After last year, some might label this budget boring. I suspect that nowadays in Trenton, boring's not such a bad thing. So, let me quickly review the numbers.

First and foremost, this is a property tax relief budget. The credit program is fully funded. 1.9 million of 2 million property taxpayers will receive an average of \$1,000 in relief – \$750 of which is new money. Half a million tenants will see their \$75 checks increased to as high as \$350.

Year over year, there's \$580 million more in aid to education. For the first time in many years, aid to every single school district will be increased. New aid will be dedicated toward educational priorities that focus on the individual needs of children. In particular, non-Abbott communities with high concentrations of children living in poverty will receive more funding. And there are new incentives for full day kindergarten and expanded pre-school. Our focus on individual children is conceptually consistent with the new funding formula being developed for the FY 2009 budget.

Finally, for the first time in three years, there will be an across-the-board two percent increase in municipal aid. Over 80 percent – or more than \$1.8 billion – of all new spending in this budget reflects our mutual efforts to provide more property tax relief and more aid to schools and local governments.

The overwhelming majority of the remaining new spending is driven by the cumulative impact of obligations created over the last 15 years. Specifically, the budget contains \$730 million more than last year to meet healthcare costs for state workers and retirees, contractual salary increases, court-ordered Abbott and child welfare funding and Medicaid increases, federal mandates, or offsets to federal cutbacks.

Reflecting fiscal realities, this budget regrettably has few new initiatives – although the painful spending cuts we saw last year are limited. I must say, it's frustrating to have so few financial resources to invest in our future. I didn't run for public office to be a number cruncher, or to play scrooge. Like so many of you, I sought to serve because I care passionately about making New Jersey a better place for our families and communities.

GOVERNOR'S MESSAGE

So recognizing the constraints, I've made an allowance of roughly \$100 million for new initiatives that will have both short- and long-term paybacks:

There is \$9 million for the new comptroller's office to root out waste, prevent fraud and reduce spending;

There is \$20 million for a consolidation fund to provide meaningful incentives for schools and local governments to share services and reduce costs, an idea promoted by Speaker Roberts;

There is \$10 million for stem cell research grants – and \$5 million for autism;

And finally, as part of a broader anti-poverty initiative, we are seeking \$64 million to raise the threshold to \$40,000 for Earned Income Tax Credits. Today, New Jersey cuts off EITC eligibility at \$20,000.

This change will mean almost 300,000 working families will receive a double tax cut – one from New Jersey and the other from Washington. Considering our tough budget last year, I'm very pleased we could fund these modest, but important, initiatives.

Still, I'm almost embarrassed to highlight them because they are a fraction of what really should be done. So let me summarize the spending. In short, almost 50 cents of every dollar in this \$33 billion budget goes to property tax relief. That's a total of \$16.6 billion. Overall spending is up 7.2 percent from last year – or \$2.2 billion. However, setting aside the growth in property tax relief and the increases in school and municipal aid, spending is up a mere 2 percent, year over year. Let me repeat – less than two percent.

Now, let me break down and explain how we pay for this net \$2.2 billion in new spending. First, \$665 million is a non-recurring use of the dedicated FY 2007 half penny. We've benefited from two years of value in this budget – which I accept will be an issue in FY 2009.

Second, \$670 million is a result of stronger FY 2007 revenues – coupled with spending restraints and efficiencies that built up surplus. This came from a lot of hard work. Four hundred – or one-half – of all political appointees were eliminated; the headcount was reduced by 1,300 across government even as we added staff for Homeland Security, Children and Families, and the Public Advocate. Technology improvements paid dividends; new furniture and office space purchases were suspended.

Travel and promotions were, and still are, frozen; 1,200 state cars were auctioned; and energy conservation achieved savings. All these actions have lowered the cost of doing business, and will be pursued vigorously in the '08 cycle.

Third, an additional \$150 million was saved through better management of state debt, minor spending reductions, and initiatives like improved debt collection. Finally, our '08 budget includes almost \$750 million projected revenue growth as a result of strong economic expansion. Growing our revenue base is part of our long-term strategy to stabilize the state's finances. It's also fundamental to our economic objectives.

It's why we are giving so much focus to creating a better business climate. Although we're far from taking a victory lap, we have had some great wins. Campbell's Soup, Bayer Pharmaceutical, Unilever, Citigroup and Verizon are all making significant New Jersey expansions with good, high-paying jobs. And there are others in the pipeline.

So that's the budget outline. Most of it expected – \$33 billion spent, \$33 billion raised. Those are the facts – so let's talk about the future. The real news of this budget isn't what's in it but rather what's not, and what will never be in future budgets unless, together, we do something to further restructure the state's finances.

Spending for individuals with mental illness and developmental disabilities is up, but only a fraction of what is truly needed to provide proper care and housing. Just consider the tragedies at Ancora in the last six months. Autism rates among children in New Jersey appear to be the highest in the nation, but we've only touched the surface of the necessary response.

Hospitals are treating more and more of the uninsured, but we haven't been able to increase charity care funding. In fact, the only items that are fully funded are mandatory costs over which we have little discretion.

The constant focus on short-term priorities without consideration of long-term costs has led to financial decisions that hang over the state today, tomorrow, and far into the future. We must break with the patterns of the past. To do that, we need to build greater public confidence in the actions of government – especially when it comes to transparency and accountability of the purse strings. We have tough choices coming, and the public needs to trust that we are working for them – not ourselves, not our friends, not for anyone else.

We have to openly debate and defend our priorities, choices, and decisions. And to be more precise, we have to put an end to the midnight spending sprees. I applaud President Codey for the steps he has proposed to bring greater openness to the budgetary process. To reinforce those efforts, I am sending a letter today to the legislative leadership of both parties, outlining further steps to build public accountability – including in the executive branch.

Specifically, the Legislature's proposed budget should be available for public review seven days prior to final passage. In addition, the executive branch needs three days after passage to allow for a thorough review. And, finally, treasury will prepare a "Budget in Brief" that summarizes the enacted budget just as it does for the Governor's proposal, the public can see how we've appropriated their money. The Codey package of reforms plus my recommendations should be implemented this cycle. Together, let's open up the process.

Now, if our only objective was to meet the constitutional obligation to balance revenues and expenditures, our job would be hard, but not impossible. What is becoming impossible is to balance the budget consistent with the letter and the spirit of the constitution, meeting mandated and committed costs while still making necessary investments for our future.

Let's examine history to see why. Right or wrong, in the '90s, the state issued bonds to fill an unfunded pension liability – and then took a 10-year holiday from making contributions. Right or wrong, in this decade, the state borrowed against the tobacco settlements to balance its operating budget.

Right or wrong, in both decades, the state provided employee benefit enhancements and undertook borrowing – for important things like school construction – without any means to finance the carrying costs or pay back our borrowed obligation. As a result of these kinds of decisions, the unfunded liability of today's pension system is nearly \$25 billion, and our unfunded healthcare liability approaches an incredible \$80 billion.

If that weren't bad enough, we remain one of only six states with a structural deficit after four and a half years of national economic expansion. And our debt burden, per capita, is the third highest in the nation. As serious as these financial challenges are, the problem goes beyond how money was raised, spent, or promised.

We are paying huge sums of money every year to meet court orders on Abbott and child welfare because responsibilities to the most vulnerable were long neglected. Not only have children suffered unnecessarily, our failure to invest appropriately has cost more in the long run than to have done it right in the first place. Unfortunately, I fear we are perilously close to additional court-ordered mandates.

Yes, we've started to wean ourselves off the gimmicks and borrowings that were used to meet the letter of our constitutional obligations. We've stopped the annual raid on the unemployment insurance fund. And we ended inappropriate bonding. Because of spending controls, the half penny, and fewer uses of one-shots, the structural deficit has been reduced – for the moment. But like Freddy Krueger, it'll be back. Next year, we estimate we begin the budget season \$2.5 billion in the hole, give or take a few dollars.

This year, we're in the eye of the hurricane. But no one should let the calm of the '08 budget lull them into a false sense of security. Just look at the mandatory cost increases we face. In the next five years, total debt service will increase from \$2.7 billion to \$3.4 billion, assuming expected borrowing for school construction, open space, and stem cell research. Healthcare costs for state workers and retirees are projected to double – from \$1.4 billion to \$2.8 billion in five years. Post-retirement medical costs for teachers are expected to more than double – from \$750 million this year to \$1.8 billion in just five years.

Fortunately, people are living longer – that's a good thing. But it means pension liabilities are increasing faster. It also means that in five years, our required pension contribution will be \$3.3 billion instead of \$1.3 billion today.

Let's get real – there is no way to close the structural deficit with the avalanche of growing fixed costs that hang over the state. It's just not going to happen unless we change. So we have choices to make – tough choices. We can continue struggling every year – scraping by with duct tape and baling wire, and pulling together no frill, investment-free budgets. Or we can change course.

GOVERNOR'S MESSAGE

Keep in mind that we have one of the best performing public school systems in America; we have one of the most educated, best trained workforces; we have an expansive transportation and mass transit network; and, we have the highest median income in the nation. I could go on. But some future Governor isn't going to be able to make those statements if we choose a path of just "getting by".

If we want universal pre-K and kindergarten, where are the resources? If we want to build new schools and expand higher education facilities, where are the resources? If we want to build mass transit in South Jersey, where are the resources? If we want to revitalize brownfields, purchase open space, and ensure compensation for Highlands farmers, where are the resources? Again, I could go on. How can we do any of these when future revenues are already spoken for? We all have a vision for a brighter New Jersey, but today we can't afford the investments to make our vision a reality.

To a large degree, this year's budget was decided well before I became governor. We need to make choices that will change old paradigms so that future budgets are not tied up in knots. One choice is to stand pat – just chip away at the structural deficit, and resign ourselves to a more limited future.

A second choice is to raise taxes – and there are a lot of unattractive options on this path that could well erode New Jersey's competitiveness and make our state less affordable. We could raise the top income tax rate even higher, we could push more middle-class families into higher tax brackets, we could expand the sales tax to professional services, or we could increase the gas tax. Need I go on?

If tax increases are the way, we can probably find an option or a series of options that can generate the revenue to make some, but not all of the investments we need; that's what we have done in the past. But I ask, what is the net effect? A third choice is to lay off thousands of state workers and dramatically cut services where no legal mandates exist. This means people who work in areas where the state has some "discretion" – healthcare personnel, prison guards, environmental officers, motor vehicle workers, and state police among others. Consider – every thousand workers laid off potentially saves \$50 million per year. So to fund \$500 million, we would need to lay off 10,000 workers. You get the math.

Or, we can search for other ways to raise the revenue needed to restructure the state's long-term finances. Some would suggest VLT's or Keno – and we're looking at them – but no one suggests these

activities would solve the problem by themselves. So, we pretty much know the impact of more tax hikes or massive layoffs, and we can all handicap the likelihood of either happening.

The one option that is new and that we are now studying is asset monetization. It's something that has been implemented in other states and successfully around the globe. I think it's fair to say that most governmental entities across the country are examining its feasibility and appropriateness. The economic potential from restructuring the state's interest in our asset portfolio is too significant to ignore whether that asset is the Turnpike, the lottery, naming rights, air rights, or whatever.

Potentially, asset monetization could reset the state's finances by dramatically reducing our debt burden, and consequently reducing debt service. Monetization could free up as much as a billion dollars or more in every year's budget – long into the future.

Just look at what debt service is doing to the state budget today. It consumes every dollar brought in from corporate taxes. We spend twice as much to pay investors as we do to support student aid, charity care, prescription drugs and parks combined. The debt service payments made every year could more than fund the universal health care initiative that I'm working on with Senator Vitale.

I know others are interested as well. Asset monetization gives us the potential to reduce our crushing debt burden – and meet New Jersey's long-term capital needs in a way no other alternative provides. Now let me be clear – borrowing to meet operating expenses is a terrible idea. And transferring an interest in a state asset to fund operating expenses is worse. And it is not under consideration.

What we have done under the guidance of Treasurer Abelow and Commissioner Kolluri is undertake a thorough and intellectually rigorous analysis of public-private partnership structures or public ownership options. Make no mistake – with any proposal, we would insist on protective conditions. If we can't ensure that the high standards of operations and maintenance will continue, we won't proceed. If we can't ensure public safety will be maintained, we won't proceed. If we can't ensure the state will maintain oversight in the governance of the asset, we won't proceed.

If we can't ensure that price increases will be predictable and reasonable, we won't proceed. Today, we're not ready with recommendations. When we are ready, we'll be back. We intend to give asset monetization, and all its derivatives, the same level of serious focus we've given to property tax relief and reform. To take this option off the table is to accept some combination of hand-to-mouth budgets without capital or social investments. Whatever choices we make, I think it's time for a new paradigm for the state's fiscal future. So that's the budget for 2008 and a perspective on our financial future.

But before I close, let me touch on some unfinished business. Yesterday, the state reached a tentative agreement with our public employees. The agreement reached is the result of the collective bargaining process – one I deeply respect. These were not easy negotiations – not by any stretch. But the outcome was a sea-change in public employee bargaining. I suspect that both sides found the outcome less than ideal. But bargaining requires give-and-take – and this agreement represents compromise on both sides. It creates a defined contribution pension plan for new state, municipal, and educational employees with earnings above \$97,000. We'll be the first state in the Northeast to make this change.

It also increases the retirement age for new employees to 60. Both of these changes only apply to new hires because existing hires have non-forfeitable rights that were obligated by court decisions and statute. The agreement calls for progressive healthcare contributions by state employees. It includes plan-design changes that should both benefit employees and cost the state less. Our public employees will make additional pension contributions. And the state will contribute at least \$1 billion to the pension fund for the next three years.

The agreement contains wage increases of 3% in each of years one and two of the contract – and 3.5% in years three and four. It also includes workplace and grievance rule changes, changes to pension governance and a more precise definition of “essential employees.” While the agreement will produce savings this year, the real benefits will be felt over the next two or three decades – long after all of us are gone – and will result in hundreds of millions of dollars of savings. Over the long run, this agreement will protect the stability and solvency of the benefit structure for taxpayers and public employees alike.

I want to acknowledge the goodwill and hard work of all our public employees – and thank those at the bargaining table who chose to make it happen. Our career employees serve our people well – and I commend them. I also want to thank Senator Scutari, Assemblywoman Pou and other members of the pension and health benefit committee who worked for months to frame the issues that led to this agreement.

Now, we all have to work together to turn these agreements into law, by revisiting the still pending proposals from the special session. The same reforms that emerged from collective bargaining need to be applied to elected and appointed officials in a consistent and coherent manner.

It has always been my view that career employees were not the source of benefit abuses that have so infuriated the public. Applying these negotiated reforms across the board to all public officials and employees will eliminate most, if not all, of the most egregious abuses of the system.

Now that we have established a pension and benefit reform package, we have two additional topics to address. Each has been long debated and is well understood by the public. Dual office-holding is an obstacle to achieving the common good we all desire. I cannot tell you how strongly I feel about ending it – now.

To that end, I am pleased to announce that Speaker Roberts and President Codey have made a personal commitment that a ban on dual office-holding will be passed and sent to my desk before it's time to sign this budget. This is a reform that is long overdue – as are bans on pay-to-play and wheeling. If we're truly serious about restoring the public's trust in government, about restoring their trust in us, it's time to act on these reforms sooner rather than later.

So let me close. The budget I present to you is in far better shape than it has been in years. There are no new taxes and no old gimmicks. There is more direct property tax relief than ever. Schools and local governments are finally receiving overdue aid. But when we look to the long-term, the situation is filled with challenges.

Now is the time to change the paradigm. Now is when we should decide to build the capacity to invest in New Jersey's future. We demonstrated on property taxes we can take on tough tasks and make progress. We must demonstrate on ethics that we can end the toxic mix of money and politics. The public has entrusted all of us to choose and chart a way forward.

A hero of my youth, Dag Hammarskjold, said it best: “Only he who keeps his eye fixed on the far horizon will find the right road.” Let's stay focused on that far horizon, and let's find a path to a tomorrow that's worthy of a state as great as ours.



Readers Guide

Summarizes the many Departments, Agencies, and Organizations that comprise New Jersey State Government and includes a brief background statement on the history of New Jersey Government. Individual displays of the seventeen Executive Branch Departments can be found in the Department and Branch Recommendations section of this document.


The Readers Guide is designed to assist readers with interpreting and understanding the content of the Governor's annual budget proposal. Included are brief descriptions of the major sections of the Governor's Budget, a guide to reading the financial tables included in the budget summaries, and a brief description of New Jersey's budget process.

BACKGROUND

The State of New Jersey was one of the original thirteen colonies and was the third state to ratify the United States Constitution in 1787. New Jersey's governmental structure is similar to the federal model, with three separate branches of government: a Legislative Branch, a Judicial Branch, and an Executive Branch. The original State Constitution was adopted on July 2, 1776, and was subsequently superseded in 1844 and 1947.

The Constitution of the State requires a balanced budget and restricts State long-term borrowing to 1% of total appropriations, unless higher amounts are specifically approved by voters at a general election. Short-term borrowing to cover cash flow needs, provided such borrowing is repaid within the same fiscal year, is not prohibited by the Constitution and is authorized in the Annual Appropriations Act.

ORGANIZATION OF NEW JERSEY STATE GOVERNMENT



READER'S GUIDE

WHAT'S NEW IN THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET

The Fiscal 2008 Governor's Budget Message continues changes made in format, content and design that are meant to improve its usefulness as a communications device and to ease the reader's ability to navigate the document. Specifically, the document includes the following changes:

Significant Financial Policies

The key financial policies that guide the compilation of the Governor's Budget Message have been consolidated into one new section that appears in the Reader's Guide on page A-8.

Upgraded Demographics Section

Several new charts have been added in the Appendix section of the Governor's Budget Message, including new information on projected population growth, dependency ratio projections for senior citizens and youth, and higher education attainment.

Glossary - Definition of Acronyms

The State Budget often includes acronyms that were heretofore undefined. The Glossary has been expanded to include a definition of the more significant acronyms currently in use.

Upgraded Index

Typically, readers who are interested in information on a particular program or organization turn to the index. Heretofore, the Budget index was limited to accounts totaling \$10 million or more, as well as major areas of spending such as departments, divisions, programs, and fund categories. In the Fiscal 2008 Budget, the index includes entries for:

All special revenue funds, trust funds, and capital project funds, including their analogous appropriations and budget language;
All accounts valued at \$3 million or more (i.e., threshold reduced from previous \$10 million);
Re-ordered index titles to place the most likely object of a reader's search at the front (e.g., "Pharmacy Services, Consulting");
Programs mentioned in previous Budget-in-Briefs but not in the index, as well as common program terms that differ from the title of corresponding appropriations (e.g., Charity Care);

Organization Charts

In recent years, a series of high-level organization charts preceded the Reader's Guide for the purpose of highlighting the major programs operated by various departments and the existence of "in-but-not-of" agencies that are housed within those departments for display purposes. This year, those organization charts have been relocated to appear just prior to the department budgets that they refer to.

Workforce Chart

The workforce chart displayed in the Appendix has been modified to include a more relevant view of position data including positions data at the start of the Corzine Administration, current year employee counts and recommended funded levels for FY 2008. These changes provide the reader with a more comprehensive presentation as well as a better understanding of the trends in the numbers of positions funded in the State Budget.

Besides providing a context for key budget decisions, these changes are designed to quickly pinpoint desired information, clarify how major services are organized, and improve the transparency of the document.

Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) - Budget Award

New Jersey is one of only seven states to receive GFOA's Distinguished Budget Presentation Award. Fiscal 2007 marked the 16th consecutive year that New Jersey has been recognized by GFOA. The other states that recently received the award were Pennsylvania, Illinois, North Dakota, Tennessee, West Virginia, and Rhode Island.

THE STATE BUDGET PROCESS

The current budget process, the Integrated Planning and Budgeting Process, was first implemented for the production of the fiscal year 1990 - 1991 State budget, replacing other systems such as Zero-Based Budgeting (ZBB) and the Planning, Programming, and Budget System (PPBS). It uses several key features from previous budget processes and is designed to result in planning-driven budgets. Implementation of the budget process usually begins during the month of April, some 15 months prior to the year for which the budget will be effective. The State Budget cycle is set on a fiscal year basis, which extends from July 1 to June 30 of the following year.

To formally initiate the process, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provides salary projection reports and technical budget instructions to the departments in August. Among other things, this enables the agencies to determine how their base budgets, including any desired reallocations, should be arranged in the coming budget year. Any recommended changes, later identified in the budget process, are then applied to this base.

The ensuing planning process includes reviews of the Governor's program priorities, economic forecasts, demands assumptions, and analyses of selective program areas. General guidance is provided by OMB to each State agency in September, including preliminary budget targets.

Agencies prepare planning documents that describe: (1) their ability to provide current services within the budget target (including projections of mandatory growth); (2) the agencies' priorities for reduction of current services if requested; and (3) priority packages representing either expansion of current programs or new programs. OMB reviews the planning documents with the agencies from November through mid January, when preliminary recommendations are agreed upon.

During the months of January and February, the Director of OMB reviews budget recommendations with the State Treasurer, the Governor, and the Governor's staff. Normally, the Governor makes the final decisions in February.

The planning portion of the budget process culminates in the final submission of the agency budget request to OMB in February which is forwarded to the Legislature. The Budget Message, representing the Governor's recommendations on how revenues should be allocated, is delivered to the Legislature on or before the fourth Tuesday in February of each year (unless superseded by legislation). From year to year, the Budget is the single most important policy statement that the Governor makes as it allocates the State's resources for programs and services.

The annual review process for capital spending requests and recommendations, which runs somewhat parallel to the process described above, has several stages. All State departments requesting capital funding must submit a seven-year Capital Improvement Plan to the New Jersey Commission on Capital Budgeting and Planning. Each capital project request requires an operating impact statement. Departments must document whether a project will have an effect on operating budgets and must quantify such information. The Commission schedules public hearings for each agency, analyzes the capital requests, and recommends projects to the Governor. The Governor, in turn, selects projects to be recommended in the annual Budget.

The Legislature, through a series of hearings conducted by its Appropriations Committees, reviews the Budget and makes changes. The Legislature also reviews the revenue estimates included in the Governor's Budget and, based upon several additional months of actual revenue collections in the current fiscal year, makes adjustments to the Budget's revenue projections and surplus estimates.

The Budget, including changes made by the Legislative Committees, then must be approved by the Senate and the Assembly; and, according to the New Jersey Constitution, a balanced Budget must be approved as an Appropriations Act and signed by the Governor before July 1. After the Legislature passes the Appropriations Act, the Governor has the power to veto specific appropriations (line items), or appropriation language segments, some of which may have been added by the Legislature as a result of its review. The line-item veto allows the Governor to reshape the final Budget and ensure that appropriations do not exceed the certified level of revenues. (As part of the final Appropriations Act, the Governor must "certify" the level of revenues in order to meet the constitutional requirement of a balanced budget.) The final approved Budget, which includes the Governor's line-item vetoes and certification of revenues, is the Appropriations Act. Once the budget is enacted, it becomes an effective tool for fiscal control and monitoring program effectiveness.

Throughout the course of the fiscal year, the Legislature has the authority to pass legislation that provides funding for programs and projects above and beyond those provided for in the Budget. The additional amounts of funding provided by these acts of the Legislature are referred to as "supplemental appropriations." The Director of Management and Budget also has statutory authority to authorize supplemental appropriations at any time during the fiscal year by virtue of authorizing budget language contained in the Appropriations Act. This is accomplished and documented by the issuance of Directory Letters from OMB.

